



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

“INDIAN AND WESTERN SECULARISM ALONG WITH JUDICIAL ASPECTS AND CHALLENGES”

AUTHORED BY - SAKSHI AVINASH SARODE

(ROLL NO- 64)

LLM FIRST YEAR DIVISION- B

P.E. SOCIETY'S MODERN LAW COLLEGE

GANESHKHIND, UNIVERSITY CIRCLE, PUNE

ABSTRACT

In examining secularism as a governing principle, this article contrasts the approaches of India and Western nations, focusing particularly on their judicial frameworks and the challenges they encounter. The judicial aspect of secularism in both contexts reveals complexities in balancing religious freedoms with state interests. In the West, legal challenges often revolve around protecting religious expression while maintaining the secular nature of public spaces.

The article explores legal challenges and the role of the judiciary in interpreting and enforcing secularism. Ultimately, the article provides insights into the potential paths forward for both Indian and Western secularism in diversities.

KEYWORDS: Indian Secularism, Western Secularism, Constitutional Interpretation, Judicial Review, Freedom of Religion, Church and State, Legal Frameworks, Secular Policy.

INTRODUCTION

Secularism is a principle that states the separation of religion from government and public affairs. In India and Western countries, secularism is practiced differently, and each system faces its own challenges.

Indian Secularism

In India, secularism is enshrined in the Constitution. It means that the government treats all religions equally and does not support one religion over others. India is a diverse country with

many religions, so the government must respect all faiths and protect the rights of religious minorities. The judicial system plays a role in ensuring religious freedom and protecting the rights of all citizens. Challenges include balancing the diverse religious beliefs and maintaining harmony among different groups.

Western Secularism:

Western secularism is often seen as the strict separation of church and state, where the government does not interfere in religious matters, and religious institutions do not interfere in politics. Countries like the United States and France practice secularism by keeping religion out of public affairs and lawmaking. The judiciary protects the freedom of religion and ensures that the government remains neutral in matters of faith. Challenges include ensuring religious freedom while also preventing religious influence on state policies.

Judicial Aspects and Challenges:

Both Indian and Western judicial systems play a crucial role in upholding secularism. Courts interpret laws and the constitution to ensure that religious freedom is protected and that no single religion is favoured. Challenges include addressing issues related to religious practices and rights, balancing the interests of various groups, and navigating complex social and political situations. Judges must be impartial and ensure that their decisions align with the principles of secularism. In summary, while India and Western countries practice secularism differently, both face challenges in balancing religious freedom and state neutrality. The judiciary plays a vital role in upholding these principles and addressing issues that arise in a secular society.

INDIAN SECULARISM

Historical Context: Indian secularism is based on a history of religious diversity and challenges. Under British rule, policies divided religious groups. The Indian National Movement, led by Mahatma Gandhi, sought unity across faiths. India's independence in 1947 and the partition with Pakistan brought significant religious tension and violence.

In 1950, India adopted a The Constitution that made the country secular, meaning it treats all religions equally. Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, promoted secularism in governance. Despite this, India has faced issues like religious conflicts and the Ayodhya dispute, testing its commitment to secularism. Debates about the role of religion in politics continue as

India evolves. India's secularism is deeply rooted in its diverse religious landscape. The country's constitution, adopted in 1950, includes provisions that emphasize equal respect and treatment for all religions, rather than strict separation.

Constitutional Framework: India's Constitution, adopted in 1950, establishes the country as a secular state. This means the government treats all religions equally and does not favour one over another. The Constitution that supports secularism includes:

Freedom of Religion: Citizens have the right to practice, profess, and propagate any religion.

Prohibition of Discrimination: The state cannot discriminate against any citizen based on religion, caste, race, sex, or place of birth.

Equal Rights: All religions have equal rights and protection under the law.

Separation of Religion and State: The state does not promote or support any religion, and religious beliefs do not influence state policies.

Overall, India's constitutional framework aims to ensure a fair and equal society for people of all religious backgrounds. The Indian Constitution declares India a secular state and ensures freedom of religion (Articles 25-28). The state may intervene in religious practices to promote social reform and protect minority rights.

Judicial Interpretations: Judicial interpretation of Indian secularism refers to how the courts, particularly the Supreme Court of India, have interpreted the Constitution's provisions on secularism, are as follows:

Equal Treatment: Courts have emphasized that the state must treat all religions equally and not favour one over another.

Freedom of Religion: The judiciary protects the right of individuals to practice their chosen religion without interference, as long as it does not harm others.

Upholding Secularism: Courts often rule against state actions that favour one religion over another or that infringe on religious freedoms.

Resolving Disputes: The judiciary plays a key role in resolving religious disputes, such as the Ayodhya case, balancing the interests of different religious groups.

Reinterpreting Laws: The courts may reinterpret existing laws to align with the secular principles of the Constitution.

Through their decisions, Indian courts have played a crucial role in maintaining India's secular character and upholding religious freedoms. Indian courts have played a critical role in defining and interpreting secularism, particularly in balancing religious freedom with other constitutional rights. Landmark cases like

1. S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994):

This case focused on the misuse of Article 356, which allows the central government to dismiss a state government. The Supreme Court held that the test of secularism is part of the basic structure of the Constitution, and any violation of secularism could justify the dismissal of a state government.

2. Aruna Roy v. Union of India (2002):

In this case, the Supreme Court interpreted secularism as being part of the fundamental duties of citizens and emphasized the importance of preserving the secular nature of the state.

3. Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017):

Known as the "triple talaq" case, the Supreme Court ruled that the practice of instant triple talaq (a form of Islamic divorce) was unconstitutional and violated the principles of secularism, gender equality, and human rights.

4. Ayodhya Dispute (Ram Janmabhoomi-Babri Masjid Case) (2019):

This case involved a dispute over a religious site claimed by both Hindus and Muslims. The Supreme Court ruled in favour of building a Hindu temple on the site while providing an alternative location for a mosque. The decision was seen as a test of India's secularism and the need to maintain communal harmony.

5. The Haji Ali Dargah Trust Case (2016):

The Supreme Court upheld the Bombay High Court's ruling that allowing women to enter the inner sanctum of the Haji Ali Dargah was consistent with India's secular and constitutional values.

These cases demonstrate the judiciary's role in upholding India's secular principles, ensuring equality among all religious groups, and protecting individual rights while balancing the interests of different communities.

Challenges: Indian secularism faces several challenges:

Communal Tensions: Periodic clashes between religious groups can threaten harmony and the secular fabric of the country.

Political Exploitation: Some political parties use religion for electoral gain, which can deepen divisions.

Legal Controversies: Disputes over religious sites and laws affecting religious practices can lead to complex legal battles.

Rising Majoritarianism: There are concerns about the state favouring the majority religion, which can alienate minorities.

Social Media Influence: False information and hate speech online can incite religious tensions.

Protecting Minority Rights: Ensuring that the rights of religious minorities are respected and protected can be challenging.

Despite these challenges, India's commitment to secularism remains a core value, but it requires constant vigilance and effort to uphold.

WESTERN SECULARISM

Historical Context: Western secularism is a principle that emphasizes the separation of religion and state, ensuring that government does not favour or interfere with any religion. Its roots can be traced back to several historical events and philosophical developments:

Enlightenment: In the 17th and 18th centuries, thinkers like John Locke and Voltaire promoted ideas of reason, individual rights, and religious tolerance, challenging the dominance of religious authority.

Reformation: The Protestant Reformation in the 16th century weakened the power of the Catholic Church, paving the way for religious pluralism.

Peace of Westphalia: The 1648 treaty ended the Thirty Years War in Europe and established the idea of state sovereignty, reducing the influence of religious authority in state matters.

American Revolution: The United States' founding documents, like the Constitution, incorporated secular principles such as freedom of religion and the separation of church and

state.

French Revolution: The French Revolution in 1789 marked a significant break from religious authority in state affairs, promoting secularism and the establishment of a secular republic.

Modern Developments: Over time, Western countries have embraced secularism in their legal systems, emphasizing equal treatment of all religions and the neutrality of the state.

Western secularism has evolved over the centuries, leading to diverse models of secular governance and ongoing debates about the role of religion in public life. Western secularism, particularly in Europe and the United States, is often characterized by a clear separation of church and state.

Constitutional Framework: Western secularism is rooted in constitutional frameworks that establish a clear separation between religion and state.

Separation of Church and State: Constitutions in Western countries, like the United States and France, establish a divide between religious institutions and government functions. This prevents the state from endorsing or funding any religion.

Freedom of Religion: Individuals have the right to practice any religion or none at all. This includes the freedom to worship, change religions, or not follow any religion.

Equality: Laws in secular countries treat all religious groups equally and prohibit discrimination based on religion.

Secular Education: Many Western countries mandate secular education in public schools, avoiding religious instruction or influence.

Neutrality: Government actions and policies are designed to be neutral concerning religion, not promoting or opposing any faith.

These principles are reflected in various Western constitutions and laws, aiming to protect individual freedoms and maintain fairness in a diverse society. In the United States, secularism involves non-interference by the state in religious matters and vice versa. In contrast, European countries like France have a more robust laïcité model, emphasizing the exclusion of religion from public life.

Judicial Interpretations: Judicial interpretation of western secularism involves how courts

understand and apply the principle of separating religion from government.

Church-State Separation: Courts in Western countries uphold the principle of separating religion and state, ensuring government doesn't favour or establish any religion.

Freedom of Religion: Courts protect individuals rights to practice any religion or none, intervening when state actions infringe on these rights.

Equality and Neutrality: Judges interpret laws to ensure equal treatment of all religions and neutrality in government actions regarding faith.

Education and Symbols: Courts rule on cases involving religious symbols in public spaces and schools, balancing individual rights and state neutrality.

Reinterpretation and Precedents: Judicial decisions can reinterpret earlier precedents on secularism as societies evolve and new challenges arise.

Western courts play a critical role in maintaining secular values, balancing individual freedoms and state neutrality regarding religion, through a lens of non-establishment and free exercise of religion. Notable cases include case of

"Lemon v. Kurtzman" (1971) from the United States. This Supreme Court case established the "Lemon test" to evaluate whether government actions violate the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment, which prohibits the establishment of religion by the government.

Background: The case involved state laws in Pennsylvania and Rhode Island that provided financial support to religious schools for teaching secular subjects. Critics argued this violated the separation of church and state.

Decision: The Supreme Court ruled that the state laws were unconstitutional. They created the Lemon test to determine whether a law or government action violates the Establishment Clause.

Lemon Test: This three-part test asks whether the government action:

1. Has a secular purpose.
2. Has a primary effect that neither advances nor inhibits religion.
3. Does not foster excessive entanglement with religion.

"Lemon v. Kurtzman" set an important precedent for cases involving the separation of church and state and continues to be a key reference in Establishment Clause cases in the United States.

Challenges: Western secularism faces several challenges:

Religious Symbols: Debates arise over the presence of religious symbols in public spaces, such as schools or government buildings, which can lead to legal disputes.

Religious Influence in Politics: In some Western countries, there are concerns about the impact of religious beliefs on political decisions and laws.

Balancing Rights: Ensuring the rights of religious groups while maintaining a secular state can be difficult, especially when religious beliefs conflict with secular laws.

Immigration and Diversity: Increasing religious diversity due to immigration can pose challenges to secular principles, particularly around cultural and religious integration.

Freedom of Expression: Tensions may arise between religious sensitivities and freedom of expression, especially in cases involving criticism of religion.

Education: Questions often emerge about the role of religion in public education, such as whether religious instruction or practices should be allowed.

Western secularism must navigate these challenges while upholding the principles of neutrality, freedom of religion, and equality for all citizens.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Approach to Religion: A comparative analysis of the approach to religion in Indian and Western secularism examines how these two regions manage the relationship between religion and state.

1. Indian Approach:

Focuses on equal treatment of all religions by the state.

Promotes religious harmony and allows religious practices in public life.

The state may intervene in religious affairs for social welfare and to maintain peace.

2. Western Approach:

Emphasizes a strict separation between religion and state.

Aims for religious neutrality, keeping public institutions free from religious influence.

Typically upholds individual freedoms, including freedom of and from religion.

So, India's approach to religion in the context of secularism is more inclusive and interlinked with public life, while the Western approach maintains a more rigid separation between religious and state matters. India's model respects all religions equally and may intervene in religious affairs, whereas Western models emphasize strict separation and neutrality.

Role of Judiciary: A comparative analysis of the role of the judiciary in Indian and Western secularism looks at how courts in these regions interpret and uphold the relationship between religion and state.

1. Interpretation of Secularism: In Western countries, courts often stress on strict separation of church and state, ensuring that religious influence does not affect government functions. In India, the judiciary interprets secularism as the state treating all religions equally and intervening when necessary to protect religious freedoms and harmony.

2. Legal Precedents: Western courts, like in the U.S. and Europe, have established strong legal precedents for secularism, focusing on maintaining religious neutrality. Indian courts have also set precedents to balance religious freedom with state intervention in religious affairs, such as regulating religious practices for social welfare.

3. Protection of Rights: Both Indian and Western judiciaries play a crucial role in protecting individual and group religious rights. However, Western courts often focus on preventing religious encroachment in public institutions, while Indian courts aim to balance religious practices with societal norms and laws.

4. Handling of Disputes: Western judiciaries generally handle religious disputes by referring to constitutional principles of separation. In India, courts often mediate between religious groups and the state to resolve conflicts and maintain peace.

Thus, the role of the judiciary in both regions is vital in interpreting secularism, protecting rights, and resolving disputes, but the approaches and emphasis can differ due to their unique legal and cultural contexts. Indian courts actively engage in shaping secularism, while Western courts often maintain a clear line between religious and secular matters.

Common Challenges: Both Indian and Western secularism aim to maintain a separation between religion and state, and faces challenges accordingly,

Balancing Act: Both Indian and Western secularism face the challenge of balancing respect for

individual religious beliefs while maintaining a fair and unbiased state.

Conflicts and Tensions: There can be conflicts and tensions between religious groups, as well as between religious groups and secular state policies.

Changing Societies: As societies evolve, new challenges arise that test the principles of secularism, such as cultural integration and changing demographics.

Sum up, while the principles are similar, the way Indian and Western secularism handle the relationship between religion and state can differ based on their cultural and historical contexts.

CONCLUSION:

Indian and Western secularism aim to balance the relationship between religion and state but approach it differently. Indian secularism focuses on equal respect for all religions and allows some state involvement in religious matters to ensure equality and justice. Western secularism emphasizes a strict separation between church and state, prioritizing individual freedom of religion.

Both face challenges such as balancing religious and secular rights, handling conflicts between different groups, and adapting to evolving societies. Despite these challenges, both forms of secularism play a key role in maintaining harmony and upholding fundamental values in their respective societies.

Secularism in both India and Western countries is an evolving concept, influenced by historical, cultural, and legal factors. Judicial interpretations play a pivotal role in shaping the practice of secularism, addressing contemporary challenges, and safeguarding individual rights. As societies continue to evolve, so too must the approaches to secularism, to maintain harmony and uphold constitutional values.

REFERENCES

1. American Communalism and Indian Secularism: Religion and Politics in India and the West: Economic and Political Weekly, Apr. 10-16, 1999, Vol. 34, No. 15 (Apr. 10-16, 1999), pp. 887-892.
2. Western Secularism and Colonial Legacy in India, Economic and Political Weekly, Jan. 14-20, 2006, Vol. 41, No. 2 (Jan. 14-20, 2006), pp. 158-165.

3. SECULARISM IN INDIA: CHALLENGES AND ITS FUTURE, The Indian Journal of Political Science, JULY – SEPT., 2008, Vol. 69, No. 3 (JULY – SEPT., 2008), pp. 597-607
4. The question of secularism, The question of secularism – iPleaders (last visited April 24,2024)

